

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/847,666	05/01/2001	Leslie Peter Antalffy	210/103-US3	4773	
34284	7590 06/01/2005		EXAMINER		
ROBERT D. FISH RUTAN & TUCKER LLP			WACHTEL,	WACHTEL, ALEXIS A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
611 ANTON BLVD 14TH FLOOR COSTA MESA, CA 92626-1931			1764		
CCS 171 WIES	1, 011 /2020-1/31		1704		

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

en 🛣	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	09/847,666	ANTALFFY ET AL.				
Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alexis Wachtel	1764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 14 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			•			
 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. 						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th	e final rejection, whicheve f the final rejection.	er is later. In no			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)) .					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	·	` ,				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	ļ			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 		timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
the non-allowable claim(s).			_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ Will not be entered, or b) ☐ wided below or appended.	III be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>17,18-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> /it or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a			
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	y and was not earlier presented. S n of the status of the claims after e	ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). hed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:						
]			
•						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment changes the scope of the present claims.

Glenn Caldarola Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700